

ADA 084566

LEVEL

12

AD-254

AD

SPECIAL PUBLICATION ARCSL-SP-80007

PROPOSED METHODOLOGY FOR MULTIPLE FRAGMENT WOUND
ASSESSMENT USING THE ARRADCOM COMPUTER MAN

by

William J. Sacco
Victor R. Clare
Jules M. Merkler

Research Division

April 1980


DTIC
ELECTE
S MAY 23 1980 D
A



US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND

Chemical Systems Laboratory

Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

80 5 2 039

DOC FILE COPY

Disclaimer

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Disposition

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER ARCSL-SP-80007	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A084566	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) PROPOSED METHODOLOGY FOR MULTIPLE FRAGMENT WOUND ASSESSMENT USING THE ARRADCOM COMPUTER MAN		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Special Publication June 1978-June 1979
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) William J. Sacco, Ph.D. Victor R. Clare Jules M. Merkler		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Commander/Director, Chemical Systems Laboratory ATTN: DRDAR-CLB-B Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 1L162622A554, Technical Area 6 1L162618AH80
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Commander/Director, Chemical Systems Laboratory ATTN: DRDAR-CLJ-R Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010		12. REPORT DATE April 1980
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 10
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE NA
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Multiple fragment wound assessment Biomechanical degradation Limb dysfunction Incapacitation probabilities		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report describes a proposed methodology for multiple fragment wound assess- ment using the ARRADCOM Computer Man.		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

PREFACE

The work described in this report was authorized under Project 1L162622A554, Chemical Munitions and Chemical Combat Support, Task Area 6, Bioresponse to Trauma, and Project 1L162618AH80, Ballistics Technology, Multiple Wounding Task. This work was started in June 1978 and completed in June 1979.

Reproduction of this document in whole or in part is prohibited except with permission of the Commander/Director, Chemical Systems Laboratory, ATTN: DRDAR-CLJ-R, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010. However, the Defense Technical Information Center and the National Technical Information Service are authorized to reproduce the document for United States Government purposes.

Approved For	
By	
Date	
Initials	
Signature	
By	
Date	
Initials	
Signature	
Dist	special

PROPOSED METHODOLOGY FOR MULTIPLE FRAGMENT WOUND ASSESSMENT USING THE ARRADCOM COMPUTER MAN

I. INTRODUCTION.

Recently Biophysics Branch personnel completed the MIMIC phase of the ARRADCOM Computer Man which permits computer determination of the incapacitation level of an infantry soldier due to a single fragment wound.

In the last year, Biophysics Branch has received many inquiries regarding the possibility of using the Computer Man to assess wounds resulting from multiple fragments. This brief report describing a proposed methodology for assessing such wounds is being circulated to receive comments from users with current requirements for multiple wound assessments; to acquaint potential users with the basic capabilities; and to precipitate discussions for adapting the methodology to newly defined requirements.

II. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY FOR MULTIPLE FRAGMENT WOUND ASSESSMENT USING THE COMPUTER MAN.

Current incapacitation estimates, $P(I|H)$, are reported for six body components: head and neck, thorax, abdomen, pelvis, upper extremities, and lower extremities. Total body $P(I|H)$, which involves appropriate summing of these six component values, has also been a required value.

All of these $P(I|H)$ values are based on limb dysfunction, which is graded into three categories: no dysfunction (always 0% incapacitation), loss of fine coordination, or loss of coarse (complete) coordination. The percent incapacitation associated with partial or complete loss of function depends on the tactical role and time after injury; that is, the present incapacitation varies according to scenario (required biomechanics).

We are proposing to extend the computer man methodology to provide biomechanical degradation outputs explicitly and to account for multiple wounds from either a single fragment or several fragments.

Biomechanical degradation is characterized by the degree of limb dysfunction, which depends on the location and size of the wound. Currently, functional groups are used to specify the degree of limb dysfunction (none, loss of fine coordination, total dysfunction) of the arms and legs. (We are in the process of refining the definition of loss of fine coordination based on a review of the previous assessment methodology and discussions with our medical consultants.)

The functional groups developed in a computer man projection depend on the fragment mass, density, shape, and velocity, and on the time after wounding, but they are not dependent on the role of the soldier. Therefore, our intention is to produce an output which is a set of ordered pairs

$$F_p = \{(F_i, p_i); i = 1, \dots, n\} \quad (1)$$

where each F_i is a functional group and p_i is the probability of occurrence of F_i . The vector

$$P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \quad (2)$$

will be called a functional group vector. This vector, of course, also depends on the fragment parameters, body part, and time after wounding but it is not dependent on the tactical role of the soldier.

This methodology will include the ability to combine functional groups associated with multiple wounds along a single wound tract and to distinguish dysfunctions to left and right arms and legs (capabilities not available in the existing Computer Man methodology).

The outputs will be body part and total body functional group probability vectors for a family of fragments.

To make a conversion for a particular tactical role, R, one must assign an incapacitation probability (or percent degradation), $P_R(I;F_i)$ to each F_i . The incapacitation probability due to random hits on the body part is then computed as:

$$P_R(I) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i P_R(I;F_i) \quad (3)$$

It is important to emphasize that, using this approach, one need not reproject fragments for every new role, since the functional group probability vectors, which are the outputs of the computer projection, are role independent.

To account for multiple wounds, we propose the following methodology: We need to define a matrix $(F_i \odot F_j)^*$ which will reflect combined functional groups. The entry appearing in the (i,j) position of the matrix is the functional group F_k resulting from combining F_i and F_j .

In the notation of equation 1, suppose the output for fragment 1 for body part A is:

$$F_r = \{(F_1, r_1) \dots, (F_n, r_n)\} \quad (4)$$

and the output for fragment 2 for body part B is:

$$F_s = \{(F_1, s_1) \dots, (F_n, s_n)\} \quad (5)$$

Then the result of combining fragments 1 and 2 is the output:

$$F_r \odot F_s = \{(F_1, t_1) \dots, (F_n, t_n)\} \quad (6)$$

where

$$t_k = \sum_{(i,j) \in D} r_i s_j \quad (7)$$

* This task requires some additional medical assessments and is currently underway.

and

$$D = \text{the set of all (ij) pairs so that} \quad (8)$$

$$F_i \otimes F_j = F_k$$

To obtain the functional group vector P for the entire body, we first write $t_i(A,B)$ to emphasize the explicit dependence of t_i on body parts A and B . Then the components p_i of P are given by:

$$p_i = \sum_{(A,B)} P(A,B) t_i(A,B) \quad (9)$$

$$(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

where

$$P(A,B) = \text{the joint probability of hitting body part} \quad (10)$$

$$\text{A with the first fragment and body part B}$$

$$\text{with the second fragment.}$$

The summation in equation 9 above is carried out over all body part pairs; that is, over AA , AB , AC , ..., BB , BC , ..., and so on.

The procedure may be iterated to account for additional fragments. After all fragments are accounted for and the final components p_i of P have been developed, the resultant incapacitation probability due to these multiple hits is then computed by equation 3 above.

DISTRIBUTION LIST 10

Names	Copies	Names	Copies
CHEMICAL SYSTEMS LABORATORY		Human Engineering Laboratory HFE Detachment	
SAFETY OFFICE		Attn: DRXHE-APG	1
Attn: DRDAR-CLF	1	Building 520	
		Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	
AUTHOR'S COPIES: Research Division	3	US Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity	
		Attn: DRXSY-MP	1
USA BIOMEDICAL LABORATORY		Attn: DRXSY-T (Mr. Kaste)	1
Attn: SGRD-UV	1	Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	
DEVELOPMENTAL SUPPORT DIVISION		Commander	
Attn: DRDAR-CLJ-R	3	US Army Missile Research and Development Command	
Attn: DRDAR-CLJ-L	3	Redstone Scientific Information Center	
		Attn: DRDMI-TBD	1
MUNITIONS DIVISION		Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809	
Attn: DRDAR-CLN	1	US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND	
RESEARCH DIVISION		Commander	
Attn: DRDAR-CLB	1	US Army Armament Research and Development Command	
Attn: DRDAR-CLB-B	1	Attn: DRDAR-LCA	1
Attn: DRDAR-CLB-R	1	Attn: DRDAR-LCF	1
Attn: DRDAR-CLB-TE	1	Attn: DRDAR-LCU	1
SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT DIVISION		Attn: DRDAR-SCA-C	1
Attn: DRDAR-CLY-R	6	Attn: DRDAR-SCN	1
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE		Attn: DRDAR-SCS	1
Defense Technical Information Center		Attn: DRDAR-SCW	1
Attn: DTIC-DDA-2	12	Attn: DRDAR-TSS	2
Cameron Station, Building 5		Attn: DRCPM-SA	1
Alexandria, VA 22314		Dover, NJ 07801	
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY		Director	
HQDA (DAMO-SSC)		Ballistic Research Laboratory	
WASH DC 20310	1	Attn: DRDAR-TSB-S	2
		Building 305	
Deputy Chief of Staff for Research,		Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	
Development & Acquisition		CDR, APG	
Attn: DAMA-CSM-CM	1	USA ARRADCOM	
Washington, DC 20310		Attn: DRDAR-GCL	1
US ARMY HEALTH SERVICE COMMAND		Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010	
Superintendent		Commander	
Academy of Health Sciences		USA Technical Detachment	
US Army		US Naval EOD Facility	1
Attn: HSA-CDC	1	Indian Head, MD 20640	
Attn: HSA-IHE	1	US ARMY ARMAMENT MATERIEL READINESS COMMAND	
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234		Commander	
US ARMY MATERIEL DEVELOPMENT AND READINESS COMMAND		US Army Armament Materiel Readiness Command	
Commander		Attn: DRSAAR-LE	1
US Army Materiel Development and Readiness Command		Rock Island, IL 61299	
Attn: DRCLDC	1	CDR, APG	
Attn: DRCSF-P	1	USA ARRCOM	
5001 Eisenhower Ave		Attn: SARTE	1
Alexandria, VA 22333		Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010	

DISTRIBUTION LIST 10 (Contd)

Names	Copies	Names	Copies
Commander US Army Dugway Proving Ground Attn: Technical Library, Docu Sect Dugway, UT 84022	1	Commander Nuclear Weapons Training Group, Atlantic Naval Air Station Attn: Code 21 Norfolk, VA 23511	1
Commander Rocky Mountain Arsenal Attn: SARRM-QA Commerce City, CO 80022	1	Chief, Bureau of Medicine & Surgery Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20372	1
Commander Pine Bluff Arsenal Attn: SARPB-ETA Pine Bluff, AR 71611	1	US MARINE CORPS Director, Development Center Marine Corps Development & Education Command Attn: Mobility and Logistics Div Quantico, VA 22134	1
US ARMY TRAINING & DOCTRINE COMMAND		DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE Hq, USAF/SGES Bolling AFB, DC 20332	1
Commandant US Army Infantry School Attn: NBC Division Fort Benning, GA 31905	1	OUTSIDE AGENCIES Battelle, Columbus Laboratories Attn: TACTEC 505 King Avenue Columbus, OH 43201	1
Commandant US Army Military Police School/Training Center Attn: ATZN-CDM Attn: ATZN-TDP-C Fort McClellan, AL 36205	4	ADDITIONAL ADDRESSEES Commander USEUCOM Attn: ECJ5-0/LTC James H. Alley APO, NY 09128	1
Commander US Army Infantry Center Attn: ATSH-CD-MS-C Fort Benning, GA 31905	1	Commander US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency Attn: Librarian, Bldg 2100 Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010	1
Commander USA Training & Doctrine Command Attn: ATCD-Z Fort Monroe, VA 23651	1	Commander DARCOM, STITEUR Attn: DRXST-ST1 Box 48, APO New York 09710	1
Commander USA Combined Arms Combat Development Activity Attn: ATZLCA-CO Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027	1	Commander US Army Science & Technology Center-Far East Office APO San Francisco 96328	1
US ARMY TEST & EVALUATION COMMAND		HQDA DASG-RDZ (SGRD-PL) WASH DC 20314	1
Commander US Army Cold Regions Test Center Attn: STECR-TD APO Seattle, WA 98733	1	HQDA (SGRD-A' Mrs. Madigan) Fort Detrick Frederick, MD 21701	1
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY		Commander Armament Concepts Office, Weapon Systems Concepts Team Attn: DEDAR-ACW Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010	1
Commander Naval Explosive Ordnance Disposal Facility Attn: Army Chemical Officer, Code 604 Indian Head, MD 20640	1		